

## NPS Project Ranking

### SCORE

1. Approved TMDL watershed? (5 pts) + \_\_\_\_\_
2. Impaired water (303d List)? (5 pts) + \_\_\_\_\_
3. Located in targeted basin? (10 pts) + \_\_\_\_\_
4. Nine element watershed plan developed? (10 pts) + \_\_\_\_\_

*(Projects must receive 10 points from question 4 to be eligible for 319 funding, nine element plans are a pre-requisite)*

HIGH 5-4 MED 3-2 LOW 1-0

5. Severity of impacts, problems, or threat + \_\_\_\_\_

*5-4-Project will address water quality issues that need to be addressed immediately.*

*3-2-Project needs to occur, but does not need to be done in the coming year.*

*1-0-No negative impacts to water quality if project does not occur.*

6. Public health benefits + \_\_\_\_\_

*5-4- Project will directly eliminate contaminants that pose a risk to public health.*

*3-2- Project will indirectly improve water quality resulting in improved public health.*

*1-0- Project will have little to no effect on improving or protecting public health.*

7. Water quality benefits + \_\_\_\_\_

*5-4-Project will significantly improve or protect water quality, addressing parameters of concern identified in watershed in TMDLs or Watershed plans.*

*3-2-Project will provide some water quality benefits, but may not address parameters of concern in that watershed.*

*1-0-Project will provide little to no water quality benefits.*

8. Effectiveness of project + \_\_\_\_\_

*5-4-Proposed BMPs are proven to improve water quality, strategically located, and in locations where other projects are currently being implemented.*

*3-2-Project will address water quality problems, but may not be the most desired BMP for the location or impairment, and may be a stand-alone project in an isolated area.*

*1-0-The project is not effective at addressing the identified water quality issue.*

9. Technical quality of proposal + \_\_\_\_\_
- 5-4-Proposal is well written, in the proper format, with adequate details about the project*  
*3-2-Proper format is used, but proposal is poorly written, with grammatical errors, and lacks details that may require additional feedback.*  
*1-0-Improper format is used. Grammatical errors throughout the document. It is obvious that little effort was expended in writing the proposal.*
10. Cost vs. benefit (e.g. \$/lb of pollutant reduced, \$/acre) + \_\_\_\_\_
- 5-4-Project will reduce a significant amount of pollutants from waterbodies using an economical approach.*  
*3-2-Project will result in pollutant loading reductions in waterbodies, but it may be fairly expensive to achieve said load reductions.*  
*1-0-Project is fairly expensive and will result in a small loading reduction.*
11. Readiness to proceed (permits, commitment, etc.) + \_\_\_\_\_
- 5-4-Project will be implemented in the coming year. All required permits have been obtained, and landowners have committed to implement the project.*  
*3-2-Landowners have committed to the project, but permits and designs have not been obtained for the project. Project may take more than one year to be completed.*  
*1-0-Projects have been identified, but no commitments from the landowners or land management agency have been obtained.*
12. Local project support/endorsement/cooperation + \_\_\_\_\_
- 5-4-Letters of support for the project from other partners included in the application. Ideally more than three partners will be participating in the project.*  
*3-2-One or two other partners will assist with the project.*  
*1-0-No partners will be associated with the project.*
13. Matching funding acquired from other sources + \_\_\_\_\_
- 5-4-More funding will be provided by partners than the amount that is being requested by the applicant.*  
*3-2-Match above the 10% requirement is provided.*  
*1-0-Minimum 10% match, or no match will be provided for the project.*
14. Past project performance, and grant award history  
 (only negative if applicable) - \_\_\_\_\_
- 5--4-Applicant did not accomplish deliverables identified in application, no final report was submitted, or was submitted late. Match for project was not reported. Project was not completed in a timely manner.*  
*-3--2-Deliverables identified in the proposal were completed, but no final report was submitted. Extensions were required to expend funding, or funding was returned after project was unable to take place.*  
*-1-0-Project was implemented appropriately and in a timely manner, final reports were submitted 90 days after final invoice.*
- Total Score (75 possible) = \_\_\_\_\_**